

Customer No.: 31561  
Docket No.: 10228-US-PA  
Application No.: 10/605,082

### **REMARKS**

#### **Present Status of the Application**

The Advisory Action remains rejections on claims 9 and 11-16. Applicants have added new claims 17-18 to further define "the passive component". Claims 9 and 11-18 remain pending in the present application, and reconsideration of those claims is respectfully requested.

#### **Discussion of Claim Rejections**

The Advisory Action has stated that Ratifcar et al. (U. S. Publication 2003/0121958; hereinafter Ratifcar) has disclosed the present invention. Particularly, the Office Action refers to [0013] about the substrate 170. *The substrate 170 has been considered to be the passive component in the Office Action.*

Applicants respectfully disagree.

The passive component is an electronic device and can be more specifically the device, such as resistor, capacitor, or inductor. In other words, the substrate 170 *is not known as the passive component.*

In [0013] of Ratifcar, *the substrate 170 is a PCB.*

In [0026], lines 1-3, of Ratifcar, the die 100 is attached to the substrate 170, which is a PCB. In other words, the PCB is a circuit board to serve as a general connection between several different components in a circuit design *and is not known as the passive component.*

Particularly, with respect to claim 17-18, the substrate 170 of Ratifcar is clearly not the resistor, the capacitor, or the inductor.

Customer No.: 31561  
Docket No.: 10228-US-PA  
Application No.: 10/605,082

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully submits that independent claims 9 and 13 patently define over the prior art references, and should be allowed. For at least the same reasons, dependent claims 11-12 and 14-18 patently define over the prior art references as well.

Customer No.: 31561  
Docket No.: 10228-US-PA  
Application No.: 10/605,082

**CONCLUSION**

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all the pending claims 9 and 11-18 of the invention patently define over the prior art and are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 22, 2005



Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor-1, No. 100  
Roosevelt Road, Section 2  
Taipei, 100  
Taiwan  
Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800  
Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233  
Email: [belinda@jciigroup.com.tw](mailto:belinda@jciigroup.com.tw)  
[Usa@jciigroup.com.tw](mailto:Usa@jciigroup.com.tw)